

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/595,114	06/16/2000	Eddy H. Kimura	6871-106/10002164	1591
27614 75	90 05/05/2005		EXAMINER	
MCCARTER & ENGLISH, LLP			YUN, EUGENE	
FOUR GATEW		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEWARK, NJ	-		2682	
			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\(\lambda \)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/595,114	KIMURA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Eugene Yun	2682	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communicat BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 3,4 and 12-35 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,4 and 12-35</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam			
10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 June 2003 is/are:	•	·	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cort	•	` '	(d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		• • •	(u).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		· ·	
 Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Burn 	· ·	n received in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	

Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Application/Control Number: 09/595,114

Art Unit: 2682

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The Affidavit of Shane Hopkins under 37 CFR 1.131 filed on 12/13/2004 under 37 CFR 1.131 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the Wizig (US 6,735,569) reference.
- 2. The evidence submitted is insufficient to establish a conception of the invention prior to the effective date of the Wizig reference. While conception is the mental part of the inventive act, it must be capable of proof, such as by demonstrative evidence or by a complete disclosure to another. Conception is more than a vague idea of how to solve a problem. The requisite means themselves and their interaction must also be comprehended. See *Mergenthaler v. Scudder*, 1897 C.D. 724, 81 O.G. 1417 (D.C. Cir. 1897).

After through consideration of Exhibits A, B, and C, the examiner did not find sufficient evidence in any of the exhibits to suggest that the teachings of the claims in the current application were taught before the filing date of the Wizig reference.

For example, none of the exhibits disclosed any limitations to teach "at least one benefits vendor computer system communicating with said at least one benefits coordinator computer system such that benefits information is provided from said at least one benefits vendor computer system to said at least one benefits recipient system via said portal web site, said at least one benefits coordinator computer system being a system which is separate from said at least one benefits vendor computer system", or "at least one benefits coordinator computer system selecting vendor information from at said least one vendor computer system for delivery to said at least

Application/Control Number: 09/595,114

Art Unit: 2682

one benefits recipient system based, at least in part upon information stored on said at least one benefits coordinator computer system" as claimed in claim 12 of the current application.

The examiner needs clearer evidence in the exhibits that all the limitations in the current pending claims were taught or suggested for the examiner to be convinced that the invention was conceived by the applicants prior to November 4, 1999, the filing date of the Wizig reference.

Based on the above reasons, the previous rejections to Claims 3, 4, and 12-35 are maintained.

Conclusion

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/595,114

Art Unit: 2682

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eugene Yun whose telephone number is (571) 272-7860. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on (571) 272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eugene Yun Examiner Art Unit 2682

EY

VIVIAN CHIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600